

To: Froede, Carl[Froede.Carl@epa.gov]; Alexander, Shanna[Alexander.Shanna@epa.gov]; Richards, Jon M.[Richards.Jon@epa.gov]
From: Amoroso, Cathy[/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C5033745779E4121B626D62341A9B89C-AMOROSO, CATHY]
Sent: Tue 4/27/2021 3:11:51 PM (UTC)
Subject: RE: Please provide me with the comment #'s you still wish to discuss ...
01-2457D4 Errata X EPA CRF 21APR2021 annotated.docx

Carl,

Do we have revised RTCs on the Bear Creek SAP? I know some of the responses were modified during Thursday’s DRAT meeting, but I haven’t seen the updated version, and would like to read the responses crafted during the meeting.

- 1. A few of my comments were not addressed – see the red font in the attached annotated RTC.
- 2. At last Thursday’s DRAT meeting, Terry (UCOR, I think) started to explain that the fish tissue collection would occur in a different part of each stream “stretch” than the population survey. I’m a little confused because I thought both the survey and the samples would be collected over the entire stretch (BCK 3.3 to 4.5; BCK 0.5 to 1.5, EFK 0 to 1.0). I would like a fuller explanation of these matters (the discussion was cut short during the DRAT meeting.) The SAP should explain the plan for collecting the fish tissue and the plan for conducting the survey.

I’m not comfortable approving the SAP sight unseen. I’d at least like an updated RTC before we approve.

Cathy

From: Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2021 2:05 PM
To: Alexander, Shanna <Alexander.Shanna@epa.gov>; Richards, Jon M. <Richards.Jon@epa.gov>; Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Subject: Please provide me with the comment #'s you still wish to discuss ...
Importance: High

Hi Everyone,

Please provide me with the comment number(s) you/we still need to resolve so that I can share them with everyone. Perhaps we can get them addressed on Tuesday?

I don’t want to leave anything hanging and assume that since DOE didn’t address your issue it will be agreed upon. Let’s get an answer if you want one.

Since many of our issues have an “Agreed” response we will have to wait for the revised document before we can seek further clarification or changes.

Thanks very much,

Carl

PS – I’ll be back on Monday.